

## Evaluation Form

**30th IAMSLIC Conference  
and Polar Libraries Colloquy  
September 5-9, 2004 Hobart, Tasmania**

*By filling out this form, you will help us improve future conferences.  
Thank you for your time and help!*

**1. Your affiliation:**

- ☐ IAMSLIC member  
☐ PLC Member  
☐ Vendor  
☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Please rate the invited speakers' presentations you attended according to the following scale:  
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA=Not applicable**

Invited speakers' presentation title	Overall Quality of Presentation	Clarity of Presentation	Relevance to your job
Movement and migration of pelagic fishes			
History of scientific exploration on the Great Barrier Reef			
Southern Australian marine life			

Comments: (please note sessions, if your comments are specific)

**3. Please rate the conference paper presentations you attended according to the following scale:  
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA=Not Applicable**

Session Title	Overall Quality of Presentation	Clarity of Presentation	Relevance to your job
French Voyages of Exploration and Science in the Age of Enlightenment: An Ocean of Discovery Throughout the Pacific Ocean; - <i>Including</i> The Scientific Results of the D'Entrecasteaux Voyage in Van Diemen's Land, 1792-93			
Mandate: A Database on Indian mangroves			
Fishing for Accuracy: Australian Input to <i>Aquatic Sciences and Fisheries Abstracts (ASFA)</i>			
Patron Placed Holds as a Tool for Reducing ILL Paperwork and Cost			
Deep-Sea Video as Data: Annotating, Archiving and Access			
The Librarian as Institutional Historian			
Selecting Suitable Search Engines for Sussing out Strategic Web Sites: Sensational Suggestions for Serious			

Searchers			
Theses in Marine Sciences Database			
Latino-American Regional Group of IAMSILIC: The beginning and Its Results			
From the Air: Digital Projects and GIS			
Implementing the <i>FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries</i> : The Role of Libraries in Supporting Fisheries Policy and Management			

Comments: (please note sessions, if your comments are specific)

**4. Please rate the panel presentations you attended according to the following scale:  
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA=Not Applicable**

Plenary Session Title	Overall Quality of Presentation	Clarity of Presentation	Relevance to your job
Pacific Voyages Panel: IAMSILIC Member Libraries in Oceania			
Preserving Our Institutional Intellectual Property (Part One): Open Archives Initiatives—What Are IAMSILIC Libraries Doing?			
Preserving Our Institutional Intellectual Property (Part Two): Open Access & Serials Preservation			
Special Lunch Session on Grant Writing			
Trapped by the Web!: The Role of Next Generation Librarians in the Rapidly Changing World of Digitized Information			
Vendor Updates			

Comments: (please note sessions, if your comments are specific)

**5. Please rate the Polar Libraries Colloquy sessions you attended according to the following scale:  
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA=Not applicable**

Polar Libraries Colloquy Session Title	Overall Quality of Presentation	Clarity of Presentation	Relevance to your job
Polar information: the work of the Scott Polar Institute Library in Cambridge			
Data management to information portals			
Mining polar and oceanographic data			
Oldies but goodies: new ways of making valuable older information accessible			
<b>Polar Libraries Colloquy Poster Session Title</b>	<b>Overall Quality of Presentation</b>	<b>Clarity of Presentation</b>	<b>Relevance to your job</b>
The Scott Polar Research Institute and the polar research community			
Navigating the information seas: developing the University of Alaska Anchorage Consortium Library's resources by subject system			
Geographic information: a diversity of uses			

Comments: (please note sessions, if your comments are specific)

**6. Please rate the Poster Sessions according to the following scale:**

**5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA=Not applicable**

<b>Poster Title</b>	<b>Overall Quality of Presentation</b>	<b>Clarity of Presentation</b>	<b>Relevance to your job</b>
Shall We Consider RFID?			
101 ways to get scuttled on the high seas of information technology, or, the long history of a small marine science library's attempts to stay afloat in the IT seas			
Formation of a database of theses of libraries of the network of centers and research groups of the National Polytechnical Institute-IPN "Instituto Politecnico Nacional" (RCGI-IPN)			
Standards for bibliographic data elements of the articles in serials			
Mould: the invasive intruder			
SFX in the library			
Dealing with digital information: a way for African marine libraries to improve information access to the end user			
The Gambia Fisheries Department Library and records management system			
Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC) Library – marine science, fisheries and aquaculture projects			
The WorldFish Center-Philippines Office Library and Documentation Service: sharing knowledge globally in a Web-based environment			
Information services of the SEAFDEC Aquaculture Department			
The Faculty of Fisheries Library, Kasetsart University, Thailand			
Fisheries library services in Vietnam			

Comments: (please note sessions, if your comments are specific)

**7. Please rate the Conference Costs and Accommodations (circle one for each line)**

Cost: Conference Registration	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Quality: Conference Meeting Rooms	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Cost: Conference Accommodation	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Quality: Conference Accommodation	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Cost: Conference Meals	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Quality: Conference Meals	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Quality: Conference Site Visit AAD	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Quality: Conference Field trip	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>
Quality: Overall conference facility	<b>5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor NA</b>

Comments: (please note items, if your comments are specific)

**8. Did you access the conference website?**

**If yes, please rank in the second column below according to the following scale:**

**5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Fair 1=Poor**

	<b>Yes/No</b>	<b>Ranking</b>
Did you find & use the conference program information?		
Did you find & use the hotel information?		
Did you find & use the travel information?		
Was the conference website clear & easy to use?		

Comments: (please note items, if your comments are specific)

**9. Why did you attend this conference?**

**10. What was most beneficial about the conference?**

**11. What about the conference needed improvement?**

**12. Please suggest the following for future conferences:**

A. Possible topics

B. Potential speakers

C. Recommended locations

**Further Comments or Suggestions?**